UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PAUL BODNER,	
Dlointiff(a)	CASE NO. C 06-04756 MHP
Plaintiff(s),	
v.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORECK DIRECT, LLC, et al.	ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Defendant(s).	
Counsel report that they have met and con following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 a	ferred regarding ADR and have reached the and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in the following A	DR process:
Court Processes: Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.E Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)	
(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement appreciably more likely to meet their needs than a ADR phone conference and may not file this form ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-	any other form of ADR, must participate in an a. They must instead file a Notice of Need for
Private Process:	1 1
✓ Private ADR (please identify procedulation; provider to be determined.	ess ana proviaer)
The parties agree to hold the ADR session by: the presumptive deadline (The dead referring the case to an ADR proces	• •
✓ other requested deadline 4/13/07 (Po	ost-filing of Plaintiff's Motion for Class Certification)
Dated: 11/17/06	s/ R. Duane Westrup
	Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 11/17/06	s/ Gayle I. Jenkins
	Attorney for Defendant

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

Mediation

X Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

X 90 days from the date of this order. After 4/13/7.

other

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 11/24/6

UNE Judge Marilyn H. Patel

Judge Marilyn H. Patel

JUDGE